Dealing with Uncertainty in Risk and Decision Analysis

Simon French simon.french@warwick.ac.uk





Who am I?

Simon French

- Mathematics background
- Bayesian Statistics and Decision Theory
- Decision Analysis
- Chernobyl Project
 My Road to
 Damascus
- Development of RODOS
- DH: Risk Management and communication
- Food safety and FSA
- Emergency Management





nalytica

ractice

System 1 and System 2 Thinking

• System 1

- 'intuition' or 'gut reaction'
- superficial analysis/interpretation of the relevant information
- based on much simpler forms of thinking on the fringes or outside of consciousness. ⇒ FAST
- System 2
 - conscious analytical thought
 - detailed evaluation of a broad range of information . ⇒ SLOW
 - often based on a rule that is assumed to provide the 'correct' answer or solution;
 - Note System 2 does not mean forgetting emotions and values.







Who am I?

Simon French

- Mathematics background
- Developing System 2 Thinking
- DECISION ANALYSIS
- Chernobyl Project

My Road to 'Damascus'

Working with System 1 Thinking

• Linergency management





Analytica

Practice

Prescriptive Decision Analysis

System 1: Descriptive Decision Studies

provide models of how people *do* make inferences and decisions

System 2: Normative Decision Theory

provide a model of how people *should* make inferences and decisions

Prescriptive Decision Analyses

seek to guide decision makers towards the ideals encoded by normative theories within the context of a real, often ill-defined problem, mindful of their cognitive characteristics





Science and Values

- Science what might happen
 - seldom a single science view
 - subjective, controversy, debate
 - uncertainty
- Values how much it matters if it does
 - subjective
 - often relate to intangibles
 - different stakeholder perspectives





Key questions in 'messy' decision making

What are our concerns? SCIENCE (lack of) understanding of world • What are we trying to achieve? VALUES - values & objectives • What might we do to achieve this/these? - actions/strategies SCIENCE What might happen out there? uncertainties about external world • What might result? - consequences How much it matter if it does VALUES impact





Societal Decisions

























The 'textbook view' of Decision Analysis suggests that to balance with uncertainty and values:

- Represent the uncertainties by a probabilities
- Represent values by utilities
- Analyse via Bayes Theorem and Expected Utility
- Conduct a sensitivity analysis

Problem: It doesn't always work!

- Sometimes we cannot define the probability distributions
- Sometimes we cannot define our values
- Sometimes we do not know what is 'out there'
- Sometimes we do not know what is 'in us'





Deep or Knightian Uncertainty

- What happens when the uncertainties in some events/ quantities are so deep that while any expert might express his or her uncertainties as probabilities, the range of these probabilities over a group of experts is effective 0-1?
- Sensitivity analysis will give almost anything as possible.
- Some uncertainties are *too great* to build a *'useful' model*.
- Knight (1921) distinguished:
 - *Risk* contexts: probabilities known and available
 - Uncertainty contexts: probabilities unknown or unavailable





Disagreement on Values

- The DA paradigm is individualistic
 - Arrow's Impossibility Theorem
 - Even when group utilities might exist, there is a need for dubious interpersonal comparisons
- So DA proceeds by using some sort of group mean analysis and then using sensitivity analysis to articulate discussion
- But what happens when group members differ so much that there is no sensible group mean?

- Stakeholder differences in societal problems





Key questions in 'messy' decision making

- What are our concerns?
 - (lack of) understanding of world and ourselves
- What are we trying to achieve?
 - values & objectives
- What might we do to achieve this/these?
 - actions/strategies
- What might happen out there?
 - uncertainties about external world[¬]
- What might result?
 - consequences
- How much it matter if it does
 - Impact in terms of our values

All relate to some form of uncertainty





Cynefin:

- physical environment
- cultural environment
- social environment
- historical environment

•

Complex

The realm of Social Systems Cause and effect may be determined after the event

Chaotic

Cause and effect not discernable

Knowable

The realm of Scientific Inquiry Cause and effect can be determined with sufficient data

D. Snowden (2002). "Complex acts of knowing paradox and descriptive selfawareness." *Journal of Knowledge Management* **6** pp. 100-11.

Known





Cynefin:

- physical environment
- cultural environment
- social environment
- historical environment

.

Complex

The realm of Social Systems Cause and effect may be determined after the event

Chaotic

Cause and effect not discernable

Knowable

The realm of Scientific Inquiry Cause and effect can be determined with sufficient data

Known

The realm of Scientific Knowledge Cause and effect understood and predicable

D. Snowden (2002). "Complex acts of knowing paradox and descriptive selfawareness." *Journal of Knowledge Management* **6** pp. 100-11.



Cynefin:

- physical environment
- cultural environment
- social environment
- historical environment

.....

Complex

The realm of Social Systems Cause and effect may be determined after the event

Chaotic

Cause and effect not discernable

Knowable

The realm of Scientific Inquiry Cause and effect can be determined with sufficient data

Known

The realm of Scientific Knowledge Cause and effect understood and predicable

D. Snowden (2002). "Complex acts of knowing paradox and descriptive selfawareness." *Journal of Knowledge Management* **6** pp. 100-11.





Cynefin:

- physical environment
- cultural environment
- social environment
- historical environment

.....

Complex

The realm of Social Systems Cause and effect may be determined after the event

Chaotic

Cause and effect not discernable

Knowable

The realm of Scientific Inquiry Cause and effect can be determined with sufficient data

D. Snowden (2002). "Complex acts of knowing paradox and descriptive selfawareness." *Journal of Knowledge Management* **6** pp. 100-11.

Known





Cynefin:

- physical environment
- cultural environment
- social environment
- historical environment

.....

Complex

The realm of Social Systems Cause and effect may be determined after the event

Chaotic

Cause and effect not discernable

Knowable

The realm of Scientific Inquiry Cause and effect can be determined with sufficient data

D. Snowden (2002). "Complex acts of knowing paradox and descriptive selfawareness." *Journal of Knowledge Management* **6** pp. 100-11.

Known





Cynefin:

- physical environment
- cultural environment
- social environment
- historical environment

.....

Complex

The realm of Social Systems Cause and effect may be determined after the event

Chaotic

Cause and effect not discernable

Knowable

The realm of Scientific Inquiry Cause and effect can be determined with sufficient data

D. Snowden (2002). "Complex acts of knowing paradox and descriptive selfawareness." *Journal of Knowledge Management* **6** pp. 100-11.

Known





Cynefin: learning, repeatability







Knowledge Management

Knowledge and Uncertainty are opposites:



So the literature of knowledge management might have something to tell us ...





Knowledge Management and Nonaka's SECI

Externalisation







Knowledge Management and Nonaka's SECI

Externalisation



Internalisation





Cynefin and Knowledge Management

Complex

The realm of Social Systems Cause and effect may be determined after the event

Socialisation

Knowable

The realm of Scientific Inquiry Cause and effect can be determined with sufficient data

Combination

Known

The realm of Scientific Knowledge Cause and effect understood and predicable

Explicit Knowledge

Formal, explicit

analysis

Tacit Knowledge

Chaotic Cause and effect not discernable

Sense-making & collaboration tools





Complex

- Level 0: soft OR, PSM, EDA, EIS, datamining
- Level 1: expert judgement, multivariate analysis
- Level 2: scenario planning
- Level 3: simpler MCDM models, simpler decision trees, influence diagrams

Chaotic

Level 0: Level 1: Level 2: Level 3: exploration, trial and error, building hypotheses.

Knowable

Level 0: databases, MIS Level 1: statistical inference, forecasting Level 2: OR models e.g. LP simulation Level 3: decision trees, influence diagrams

Known

Level 0: database systems Level 1: forecasting Level 2: simulation Level 3: Al, e.g. expert systems, neural nets

Data and model based systems (*cf.* combination cycle of SECI)

Collaboration (*cf.* comb tools, GDSS, decision conferencing, etc. (*cf.* socialisation cycle of SECI)



Level 0: Acquisition, checking and presentation of data, directly or with minimal analysis, to DMs

Level 1: Analysis and forecasting of the current and future environment.

Level 2: Simulation and analysis of the consequences of potential strategies; determination of their feasibility and quantification of their benefits and disadvantages.

Level 3: Evaluation and ranking of alternative strategies in the face of uncertainty by balancing their respective benefits and disadvantages.





(cf. socialisation cycle of SECI)

- Level 0: Acquisition, checking and presentation of data, directly or with minimal analysis, to DMs
- Level 1: Analysis and forecasting of the current and future environment.

Level 2: Simulation and analysis of the consequences of potential strategies; determination of their feasibility and quantification of their benefits and disadvantages.

Level 3: Evaluation and ranking of alternative strategies in the face of uncertainty by balancing their respective benefits and disadvantages.



Scenario-Focused Decision Analysis

An approach to deep uncertainty and conflicting stakeholder values

- Use scenarios to 'fix' deep uncertainties at interesting values
 - No attempt to span or partition the future
- Also create scenarios which capture specific value/cultural perspectives.
 - Hierarchist, Individualist, Egalitarian, Fatalist (Douglas)
- *Within* each scenario, build an appropriate quantitative model/small world
 - Possibly a different model in each scenario.





Example: Threatened Nuclear Accident



Engineering solution avoids accident

Small Gas Release

Some release of radioactive gases.

Gas and som Particulate Release

I₁₃₁ and other radionuclides released

Major Release

Large gaseous and particulate radionuclides release.





Other Examples

- Energy futures (Kowalski et al, 2009)
 - Includes participatory processes
- Natural resource management (Mendoza & Martins, 2006)
- (i) broker strategy & (ii) warehouse development (Montibeller et al, 2006)
- Emergency planning (Comes et al, 2010)
- Infrastructure policy (Schroeder & Lambert, 2011)

All are experimental between research and production decision analysis \Rightarrow much more to be done.





There are many problems ...

- How might we accumulate results of analysis across scenarios?
 - We might not!
 - Present the within scenario analyses to inform discussions, but leave the synthesis to judgement and the political process.
 - If we do accumulate ...
 - What do between scenario weights mean?
 - Not simple probabilities nor preference weights, maybe importance
 - Stewart, French & Rios Omega (2013)
 - How do we assess them?
- Can the DMs learn from such analyses?
 - How do we present the results?
- Can the DMs provide the necessary judgements to build the 'what-if' models?
 - Requires counterfactual thinking
- There are theoretical issues relating to the Bayesian model.





Thank you and questions

Email me for papers: simon.french@warwick.ac.uk



